



## STATE OF NEW JERSEY

### Board of Public Utilities

Two Gateway Center

Newark, NJ 07102

[www.bpu.state.nj.us](http://www.bpu.state.nj.us)

### TELECOMMUNICATIONS

IN THE MATTER OF THE JOINT APPLICATION OF )  
PREFERRED CARRIER SERVICES, INC. AND UNITED )  
TELEPHONE COMPANY OF NEW JERSEY, INC. FOR )  
APPROVAL OF A MASTER INTERCONNECTION )  
AND RESALE AGREEMENT UNDER SECTION )  
252 OF THE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ACT OF 1996 )

### ORDER APPROVING INTERCONNECTION AGREEMENT

DOCKET NO. TO02010025

(SERVICE LIST ATTACHED)

### BY THE BOARD:

By letter dated January 7, 2002, United Telephone Company of New Jersey, Inc. (United), a New Jersey corporation, and Preferred Carrier Services, Inc. (Preferred Carrier), a Texas corporation, (individually, a Party, and jointly, the Parties), pursuant to Sections 251 and 252 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, P.L. 104-104, 110 Stat. 56, (codified in scattered sections of 47 U.S.C. §151 et seq.) (the Act), submitted to the Board of Public Utilities (Board) a joint application (Application) for approval of a negotiated resale agreement, titled "Master Interconnection and Resale Agreement for the State of New Jersey" and dated June 15, 2001 (Agreement). United is an incumbent local exchange carrier as defined by the Act with the duty to negotiate interconnection agreements pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. See 47 U.S.C. §251(c) and §251(h)(1). The Agreement sets forth the rates, terms and conditions under which United will offer to Preferred Carrier telecommunications services for the purpose of resale.

United and Preferred Carrier assert that the Agreement satisfies the requirements for Board approval because it does not discriminate against any other telecommunications carrier, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(A)(i). The Parties aver that the terms contained in the Agreement are available to any other telecommunications carrier operating in New Jersey, and that other carriers are not bound by the Agreement, remaining free to negotiate independently with United pursuant to Section 252 of the Act. Application at 3.

The Agreement is in effect until June 14, 2003, and thereafter the Agreement shall continue in full force and effect unless terminated as provided in the Agreement. The Agreement provides for post termination interim services arrangements.

The Parties assert that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, as required by Section 252(e)(2)(a)(ii) because it will permit Preferred Carrier to compete with United as a local telephone service reseller for both residential and business customers, and it will promote local competition in United's service territory, thereby fostering the goals of the 1996 Act.

By letter dated November 27, 2002, the Division of Ratepayer Advocate (Advocate) stated that it is satisfied the Agreement does not discriminate against other carriers and is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, and therefore recommended that the Board approve the Agreement.

## DISCUSSION

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(a)(1), an incumbent LEC may negotiate and enter into a binding interconnection agreement with a carrier requesting interconnection, service or network elements without regard to the standards set forth in 47 U.S.C. §251(b) and (c). In addition, 47 U.S.C. §252(e)(1) requires approval by the Board of any interconnection agreement adopted by negotiation or arbitration, and further requires the Board to approve or reject the Agreement, with written findings as to any deficiencies. The Act provides that the Board may reject a negotiated agreement only if it finds that:

- (i) the agreement (or portion thereof) discriminates against a telecommunications carrier not a party to the agreement; or
- (ii) the implementation of such agreement or portion is not consistent with the public interest, convenience, and necessity.

[47 U.S.C. §252(e)(2)(A)].

The Board's review of the Agreement and the record in this matter indicates that the Agreement is consistent with the public interest, convenience and necessity, and that the Agreement does not discriminate against telecommunications carriers not parties to the Agreement. Therefore, the Board FINDS that the Agreement meets the standards set forth in the Act, and HEREBY APPROVES the Agreement as presented by the Parties. This approval should not be construed as preapproval of any future petitions for rate recovery of costs incurred pursuant to the Agreement. In addition, approval does not constitute a determination concerning, nor shall the Board be bound by, provisions within the Agreement regarding the confidentiality of information.

Pursuant to 47 U.S.C. §252(h), a copy of the Agreement will be made available for public inspection and copying within ten days of the issuance of this Order.

DATED: 5/20/03

BOARD OF PUBLIC UTILITIES  
BY:

signed  
JEANNE M. FOX  
PRESIDENT

signed  
FREDERICK F. BUTLER  
COMMISSIONER

signed  
CAROL J. MURPHY  
COMMISSIONER

signed  
CONNIE O. HUGHES  
COMMISSIONER

signed  
JACK ALTER  
COMMISSIONER

ATTEST:

signed  
KRISTI IZZO  
SECRETARY